



www.ceps.eu

MORE OR LESS EUROPE?

CEPS IDEAS LAB

26 - 27 February 2015 / The Egg, Brussels

Rue Bara/Barastraat 175

Programme



Contents

Agenda	4 - 5	Finance	13
A stroll through the CEPS Ideas Lab	6	Have we solved the too-big-to-fail problem? Which Union for Europe's capital markets? The Nordic capital markets growth model	
Plenary Sessions	7	Energy	14
Big data, big hopes, big fears? Regulation in the new digital ecosystem Disconnected continent? The continuing quest for a digital single market		Is there still a market with 50% renewables in the electricity sector? Does Europe need a gas purchasing agency? Are Russia's gas deals with China a threat to Europe?	
Regulation & Innovation	9	Climate Change	15
Disruptive service innovation: What's coming next? Better science for better EU regulation Impact of regulation on innovation		Global climate change policy: Why EU leadership? Competitiveness and climate change Climate for growth	
TTIP & Global Trade	10	Rights & Security	16
TPP and TTIP: Where is China in the picture? <i>EU-China Reform Agendas</i> An analytical (non-emotional) approach to ISDS Will agriculture derail the TTIP negotiations?		The future of Frontex: Challenges towards a European borders service? Data protection and privacy in times of large-scale surveillance Fighting financial crime and corruption in the EU	
Economy	11	Institutions	17
Monetary policy in times of depression: Is the ECB the fiscal agent for the euro area? Is investment the silver bullet for growth? Is the fiscal compact dead?		A more political European Commission? What kind of treaty reform does the EU need? What narrative for Europe?	
Jobs & Skills	12	Europe in the World	18
Will the new Commission succeed in implementing European unemployment insurance? Is higher education in trouble globally and is Europe falling behind? The end of labour market reform?		The future of the Eastern Partnership The EU and crisis management in the Maghreb How to facilitate Council decision-making in the CFSP?	



Prime Talks

19 - 20

Productivity and competitiveness: Is digital Europe the answer?

Towards innovative market leadership: China's 13th five-year plan

EU-China Reform Agendas

Has the EU fostered inequality?

EU-China views on 2015 commitments

EU-China Reform Agendas

Rule of law in the EU

The Future of EU-Russia relations

In the margins

21

Breakfast Session - Green, Pink and Silver? - The Future of Labour in Europe (Launch of a new NEUJOBS book)

Annual Journal of Common Market Studies Lecture: EU-China Relations

Sponsors and Supporters

Back Cover




11:30 - 13:10 Registration, light lunch and networking

13:10 - 13:15 Welcome and Introduction: Edmond Alphandéry, Chairman of the CEPS Board of Directors

13:15 - 13:30 Welcome Address by Laimdota Straujuma, Prime Minister of Latvia


13:30 - 14:30 **Opening Plenary: European Security Strategy: Challenges and Responses**
Edgars Rinkēvičs, Foreign Affairs Minister of Latvia

Session 1 14:30 - 15:45



15:45 - 16:15 Chill-Out

Session 2 16:15 - 17:30



17:30 - 17:40 Closing words

17:45 - 19:45 Cheese and wine



08:00 - 08:45 Breakfast Session: Green, Pink and Silver? - The Future of Labour in Europe (Launch of a new NEUJOBS book) **Marco Annuziata**, Chief Economist, General Electric / **László Andor**, former European Commissioner for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion


08:30 - 09:00 Registration & Review of Day 1

Session 3 09:00 - 10:15



10:15 - 10:40 Chill-Out

Prime Talks 10:40 - 12:10



12:10 - 13:00 Closing Plenary: Driving the EU forward: the Energy Union project
Maroš Šefčovič, Vice-President of the European Commission, in charge of the Energy Union

Food Lab

14:00 - 16:00 Annual Journal of Common Market Studies Lecture: EU-China Relations: Prof. Chen Zhimin, Dean, School of International Relations and Public Affairs, Fudan University



A stroll through the CEPS Ideas Lab

Venue

The Egg, Rue Bara/Barastraat 175, 1070 Brussels
www.eggbrussels.eu
Tel: 0032 2 560 21 60

Opening Plenary

European Security Strategy: Challenges and Responses

The CEPS Ideas Lab 2015 will kick off in the afternoon of February 26th with an Opening Plenary, presented by:
Edgars Rinkēvičs, *Foreign Affairs Minister of Latvia*
On the record session

Eleven parallel labs

In between these two plenary sessions, eleven parallel labs will be organised along key dimensions of European integration:



Digital Economy



Energy



Regulation & Innovation



Climate Change



TTIP & Global Trade



Rights & Security



Economy



Institutions



Jobs & Skills



Europe in the World



Finance



The Labs

Each lab meets three times for 75-minute discussions over the two days - twice on the first day and once on the second, for a total of 33 sessions. An experienced moderator will chair the discussion rounds, and a rapporteur will be present during each lab to capture key ideas and perspectives emerging from the debate.

Attendance in each session will be limited to a maximum of 35 participants, with a balanced mix of opinion leaders, policy-makers and experts from the private sector. All participants are encouraged to actively contribute to the debates.

Except for “Europe in the World”, which is strictly *off the record*, all other lab sessions will observe the *Chatham House rule*, in which participants are free to use the information received, but neither the identity nor the affiliation of the speaker(s), nor that of any other participant, may be revealed.

Prime Talks

After the third and final round of discussions in the labs, the number of parallel sessions will be reduced from eleven to six to encourage synergies to emerge across issues. In each session, rapporteurs from the lab rounds will challenge a senior figure by putting on the table the most promising policy proposals that emerged from their discussions.

On the record

Special Sessions: EU-China Reform Agendas

CEPS and the China Institute for Reform and Development (CIRD) have jointly launched a policy initiative on “**EU-China Reform Agendas**” within the framework of the Economic Policy Forum (EPF), an alliance of emerging economies’ think tanks for high quality growth. The cooperation will be kicked off with one lab session and two Prime Talks as special sessions on selected issues of high relevance to both, the EU and China.

Chill-Outs

These two half-hour breaks are intended to give participants the opportunity to stroll through various presentations of different think tanks and organisations that support the CEPS Ideas Lab.

Closing Plenary

Driving the EU forward: the Energy Union project

The CEPS Ideas Lab will be concluded on the second day of February 27th, with a 2nd Plenary Session:

Maroš Šefčovič, *Vice-President of the European Commission, and in charge of the Energy Union*

On the record session

Cheese and wine

Great opportunity to network, meet interesting people and continue your discussions.

Digital Economy

CEPS Anchor & Moderator: Colin Blackman

▶ Big data, big hopes, big fears?

Discussion Leaders

Sophie Mestchersky, Director to Lead European Policy & Government Affairs, App Developers Alliance /
Michał Boni, MEP / **Markus Löning**, Director, Privacy Project

The astonishing amount of data presently being generated reflects our ability to measure activity and to capture and analyse data like never before. This phenomenon promises social and economic benefits with new applications and services in all sectors from health and energy to transport and others through more efficient use of resources, improved productivity and services. Big data is expected to create hundreds of thousands of jobs and to add billions of euros to the EU economy. The digital footprint we leave behind, however, raises questions for cybersecurity, privacy and data protection. How can we enable innovation while building trust and empowering consumers and citizens?

Moderator: John Midgley, Intuit

▶ Regulation in the new digital ecosystem

Discussion Leaders

William H. Melody, Guest Professor, Aalborg University Copenhagen
Eli Noam, Professor of Finance and Economics, Director, Institute for Tele-Information, Columbia University

Electronic communications lie at the heart of Europe's economy and society, but investment in new generation networks is lagging behind other regions. At the same time, the digital landscape is evolving with the emergence of new platforms, over-the-top (OTT) players and intermediaries, cloud computing and the prospect of the Internet of Things, to mention just a few. The aim of this session is to explore how regulation should be adapted in the face of the evolving digital ecosystem to ensure that Europe reaps the benefits of the digital economy.

▶ Disconnected continent? The continuing quest for a digital single market

Discussion Leaders

Guy Levin, Executive Director, Coalition for a Digital Economy (COADEC)
Erzsébet Fitori, Director, European Competitive Telecommunications Association (ECTA)
Eva Paunova, MEP

Despite projections that the digital single market could bring an additional 4.1% to the EU's GDP by 2020, it is proving extremely difficult to create. With the digital economy now at the heart of the EU economy, an integrated and competitive single market should be the key driver to promote European productivity and growth. A digital single market would address the fragmented telecoms market as well as e-commerce, harmonisation of the radio spectrum, as well as the myriad differences in regulation and business practices across the EU. With the new European Commission putting the completion of the digital single market at the top of its agenda, what are the main challenges and practical solutions?



Regulation & Innovation

CEPS Anchors & Moderators: Lorna Schrefler / Jacques Pelkmans / Andrea Renda

▶ Disruptive service innovation: What's coming next?

Discussion Leaders

Patrick Robinson, *Head of Public Policy Europe & Canada, airBnB* / **Brigitte Grouwels**, *Minister of the Government of the Brussels-Capital Region responsible for Transport, Regional and Communal IT*

Riccardo Trivisonno, *Mobile Broadband Network Specialist, Huawei*

Disruptive innovation is spreading far beyond the digital world and is now affecting various services that had remained relatively untouched until a few years ago. Think of travel arrangements and online booking sites, taxi rides, Uber or AirBnB, which allow travellers to completely bypass traditional services. On the other hand, some argue that disruptive innovation is not a business model and that a lot of the disruption simply stems from the fact that the 'innovators' are regulated less than or differently from their competitors. This also poses challenges for the EU Internal Market, depending on the sector where the innovation occurs. Some sectors are under tight national control, with the result that disruptive new services flourish in some member states, but not in others. Is this the type of innovation that Europe should strive for? What is the right balance between regulation and innovation?

▶ Better science for better EU regulation

Discussion Leaders

The Rt Hon Sir Dick Taverne QC, *Member of the House of Lords*

Paul Whaley, *Researcher at Lancaster Environment Centre, University of Lancaster*

Science, technology and innovation are essential to address the challenges posed by an ageing population, climate change and food security, and in order to maintain and improve well-being in Europe and beyond. Science underpins many EU rules, particularly when it comes to regulating risks, yet science and its potential impact on policy decisions are, at times, viewed with suspicion, with some arguing that science has gone too far. In this context, there seems to be a lack of clear rules on how to channel scientific findings in EU policy-making, which leads to polarised debates or a perceived lack of transparency in decision-making. What is the role of science in EU decision-making? How can divergent views and positions on science and technology be reconciled? How can we make better use of science to improve the quality of EU rules?

▶ Impact of regulation on innovation

Discussion Leaders

Knut Blind, *Professor, Technische Universität Berlin*

Nicholas Ashford, *Professor, Technology and Law Program, Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

Does regulation stifle or promote innovation? Can EU regulation be made more innovation-friendly? This session explores the ambiguous, multifaceted and ever-changing relationship between regulation, regulatory governance and innovation at the global and EU level. Participants will discuss the tools available to policy-makers to create a better innovation ecosystem. These include actions to foster entrepreneurship, reduce administrative burdens, stimulate investment, improve education and skills, create better conditions for access to credit, protect intellectual property (where appropriate) and establish a level playing field through forward-looking competition policy. Areas such as intellectual property law, competition law, public procurement, sectoral regulation, education policy, regulatory reform and better regulation, among others, will be discussed in this session.

TTIP & Global Trade

Supported by the Friedrich Ebert Foundation

CEPS Anchor & Moderator: Jacques Pelkmans

▶ TPP and TTIP: Where is China in the picture?

EU-China Reform Agendas

Discussion Leaders

Jeffrey J. Schott, Senior Fellow, Peterson Institute / **Cao Yuanzheng**, Chief Economist, Bank of China

Although TPP and TTIP are not fully comparable, the critical component in both is the emphasis on regulatory cooperation with a view to facilitate market access. This may refer to TBTs, SPS and other regulatory barriers in goods and services markets and possibly in other domains. In order to render these approaches effective at world level, it is imperative to involve China sooner rather than later. There is a serious risk that TPP might be regarded as ‘isolating China’, whether intended or not. TTIP is not directly related to China, but it is quite possible that the interconnections between these large-scale negotiations (e.g. the US presence in both; Canada now having agreed a deep FTA with the EU, much like TTIP) as well as the links between the EU and Japan (negotiating a comprehensive FTA as well) and between the EU and Malaysia and with Mexico – all partners in TPP – create far-reaching similarities between TTIP and TPP.

▶ An analytical (non-emotional) approach to ISDS

Discussion Leader

Jacques Bourgeois, Professor, College of Europe and Counsel, WilmerHale / **Freya Baetens**, Head of LUC Research Centre, Leiden University / **Jörg Leichtfried**, MEP and member of the INTA Committee
Tom Jenkins, Member of the TTIP Advisory Group, European Trade Union Confederation (ETUC)

In identifying the pros and cons of a possible inclusion of investor-to-state dispute settlement (ISDS) in the TTIP negotiations, this session will attempt to transcend the often emotional rather than analytical debates and the posturing taking place in ‘Brussels’ and in some parts of public opinion. Could textual and legal clauses be included that would render a negative effect on national regulatory autonomy? In fact, this issue applies equally to CETA and TTIP, and hence can be discussed together. Would the exclusion of ISDS significantly reduce investment protection in the North Atlantic?

Moderator: Uwe Optenhögel, Director, FES

▶ Will agriculture derail the TTIP negotiations?

Discussion Leaders

Willi Schulz-Greve, Head of Unit, DG Agriculture and Rural Development, European Commission
James Higgiston, Minister-Counselor for Agricultural Affairs, United States Mission to the EU
Jean-Christophe Bureau, Professor, Paris Institute of Technology
Stefan Tangermann, formerly OECD / **Arnaud Petit**, Director, Copa-Cogeca

Agriculture is likely to play a crucial role in the TTIP negotiations between the US and the EU. In the last 20 years, there have been significant disagreements between the US and the EU over food regulation, and in particular food safety standards. In some areas, there has been convergence of standards, whereas in others, differences appear unbridgeable. An agreement is, therefore, likely to have major implications for the EU food market due to its impact on food regulations, including genetically modified food products, geographical indications and the use of hormones in meat production. However, an FTA between two major global players would represent a step forward in facing the pressing global food and environmental challenges of today and tomorrow, such as food security and climate change.



Economy

In cooperation with the LUISS School of European Political Economy

CEPS Anchor & Moderator: Daniel Gros

► Monetary policy in times of depression: Is the ECB the fiscal agent for the euro area?

Discussion Leaders

Hans-Werner Sinn, *President, IFO Institute* / **Fabrizio Saccomanni**, *Senior Fellow, LUISS-SEP*

Jakob von Weizsäcker, *MEP*

In the EMU, because of its institutional set-up, the balance sheet of the central bank represents the only direct link between fiscal and monetary policies and thus the potential tool for fiscal transfers across member states. While it is generally accepted that the divide between fiscal and monetary policy becomes blurred in a depressed environment, still there is considerable controversy over which unconventional specific measures actually cross the line.

Has the ECB crossed this line? Does it need to cross the line to save the euro from deflation?

► Is investment the silver bullet for growth?

Discussion Leaders

Debora Revoltella, *Director of the Economics Department, European Investment Bank*

Christian Mumssen, *Director of the Europe Office and Senior Resident Representative to the European Union, IMF*

Mario Monti, *Member of the Italian Senate, Italy*

Investment seems to hold the key to the recovery of the euro area: the strategy of the new European Commission is to launch an investment programme of €300 billion. Similar arguments are prominent in the public debate in many member countries. While higher investment may always seem desirable, at least superficially and especially in a low-demand environment, the main challenge is mobilising 'good' investment and financing without incurring large deficits. Moreover, the experience in Japan, which for many years spent between two to three times more than EU member states on infrastructure, shows that higher investment does not always lead to more growth.

► Is the fiscal compact dead?

Discussion Leaders

Paul De Grauwe, *Associate Senior Fellow, CEPS* / **Ludger Schuknecht**, *Ministry of Finance, Germany*

Jean Pisani-Ferry, *Commissioner-General, Policy Planning Office, France*

The Fiscal Compact, with its strict limits on deficits, entered into force in January 2013, and signatory countries have been expected to comply with the balanced budget rule since January 2014. It seems, however, that several countries will not be able to meet the target. It was introduced at a time when the source of the euro crisis appeared (at least in the eyes of policy-makers in Germany) to have been the fiscal irresponsibility of Greece, and there was a certain consensus that the response of many countries to the crisis was constrained by the lack of fiscal space. The more fundamental strategic justification for the Fiscal Compact was based on the generally shared experience that large public deficits and debts have a negative impact on growth and unemployment over the long run. However, there may be times when larger deficits improve growth and employment, such as now. The Fiscal Compact might thus have been created at exactly the time when the intellectual justification for tight fiscal policy was weakest. The result is that a balanced budget rule has now been put into the constitutions of most member states, but these rules tend to be ignored.

Jobs & Skills

CEPS Anchor & Moderator: Miroslav Beblavý

▶ Will the new Commission succeed in implementing European unemployment insurance?

Discussion Leaders

Etienne Oudot de Dainville, Minister Counsellor for financial affairs, French Permanent Representation to the EU
Mark Roscam Abbing, Deputy Director-General for participation and income security, Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment, The Netherlands

This session will be devoted to the politics of European unemployment insurance. During the last Commission, there was extensive discussion about whether the EU/eurozone needed a shock absorption mechanism and whether this should take the form of European unemployment insurance. Now that the policy options are relatively clear, there needs to be a political decision whether to move forward and which of the many options to pursue.

▶ Is higher education in trouble globally and is Europe falling behind?

Discussion Leaders

Xavier Prats Monné, Director-General of DG Education and Culture, European Commission
Werner Eichhorst, Director, Labor Policy Europe, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA)
Johannes Heinlein, Vice President of Strategic Partnerships, edX
Juraj Draxler, Minister of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic

For many decades, higher education has been a growth industry and a gateway to a better future for both individuals and societies. However, high participation rates, high and rising price tags, potentially disruptive technological change and difficult labour markets for graduates induced by the global financial and economic crisis brewed a perfect storm where higher education became a subject of anxious discussions on both sides of the Atlantic. At the same time as China is massively expanding and upgrading its higher education system and the US is witnessing a massive wave of innovation, Europe appears to be stagnating. Is this an accurate picture and if so, what can be done about it?

▶ The end of labour market reform?

Discussion Leaders

Klaus Zimmermann, Director, Institute for the Study of Labour (IZA)
Martina Lubyová, Director, Institute for Forecasting, Slovak Academy of Sciences
Helge Berger, Senior Advisor, European Department, IMF

Since the beginning of the global financial and economic crisis, European countries, particularly those in the south, experienced an unprecedented wave of labour market reform. Has this wave come to an end? Which reforms have worked? Is it a question of design or implementation?



Finance

CEPS Anchor & Moderator: Karel Lannoo

▶ Have we solved the too-big-to-fail problem?

Discussion Leaders

Gunnar Hökmark, *MEP*

Europe has adopted a raft of measures to ensure that banks can be resolved or liquidated in an orderly way and no longer need to be bailed out with taxpayers' money. But scepticism remains that these initiatives will be enough to overcome the TBTF problem. This is also one of the motivations behind one of the few post-crisis measures remaining on the 'to do' list – the Barnier (or Liikanen) proposal to separate commercial and investment banking. Is such a measure still needed, however, after all the re-regulation in the financial sector? Who will decide on derogations from the proposed separation? And finally, is there a risk that separation, and the different approaches, will further contribute to market fragmentation and render banks more fragile?

Moderator: *Maria Nieto*, *Bank of Spain*

▶ Which Union for Europe's capital markets?

Discussion Leaders

Fabrice Demarigny, *Global Head of Capital Markets, MAZARS*

Philippe De Backer, *MEP*

Europe has struggled for years to create a very liquid capital market as an alternative to the dominant bank-based model of financing. As an analogy to Banking Union, the European Commission has now set Capital Markets Union as an objective for the years to come. But what is Capital Markets Union? Would its creation entail a new wave of harmonising regulation? Or would it be preferable to undertake a series of initiatives to support and interconnect the fragmented markets in Europe?

▶ The Nordic capital markets growth model

Discussion Leaders

Arminta Saladžienė, *Vice-President, Nasdaq OMX Group*

Allan Polack, *Head of Nordea Asset Management*

Nordic capital markets provide an interesting example of creating conditions conducive to the start-up of new ventures. Nordic banks are highly involved in investment banking activities, and the local exchanges have worked hard in recent years to improve the environment for IPOs and venture capital. In addition, the Nordic countries have a very peculiar corporate control model. This session will discuss what lessons their experience might offer to the EU to improve the functioning of its capital markets.

Energy

CEPS Anchors & Moderators: Christian Egenhofer / Arno Behrens / Fabio Genoese

► Is there still a market with 50% renewables in the electricity sector?

Discussion Leader

Patrick Graichen, Executive Director, Agora Energiewende

Francesco Gazzoletti, Head of International Affairs, International Affairs, ERG spa

Michel Matheu, Head of EU Strategy, EDF

Europe has embarked on an energy transition, moving away from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources. This is most pronounced in the electricity sector, where some 35% of power is expected to be generated from renewable sources by 2020. This achievement has been the result of subsidies to the electricity sector in various forms. However, existing electricity generators are losing money as they are not able to recuperate their costs and are forced to write off assets. Electricity prices for households are rising to finance renewables subsidies via charges and levies. Flexible energy sources such as gas are becoming uneconomical and plants are being forced to close, raising fears of blackouts.

► Does Europe need a gas purchasing agency in Europe?

Discussion Leaders

Sami Andoura, Senior Research Fellow, Notre Europe - Jacques Delors Institute

Giacomo Luciani, Adjunct Professor and Co-Director of the Executive Master in Oil and Gas leadership and Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies

For many years, Gazprom has been negotiating gas contracts with individual companies in the EU. This meant that different member states faced different import prices. In a functioning gas market, price differences would erode over time, allowing for the emergence of a single European import price. But this has not happened and member states in Central and Eastern Europe claim that their gas importers are at a disadvantage, also because of 'political' prices set by Gazprom and Russia. Donald Tusk, the former Prime Minister of Poland and now Council President, has therefore proposed the formation of an Energy Union and a gas aggregation mechanism, i.e. a gas purchasing agency. Others responded that such an agency would disrupt the existing gas market. Should Europe wait until the internal market and infrastructure are completed or should it provide solidarity and security via the creation of a purchasing agency now?

► Are Russia's gas deals with China a threat to Europe?

Discussion Leaders

James Henderson, Senior Research Fellow, Oxford Institute for Energy Studies

Tatiana Mitrova, Head of Oil and Gas Department, Energy Research Institute RAS

For many years, the EU has been by far the largest and most profitable market for Russian gas. Now that the EU is developing more renewable sources and actively looking for diversification, Russia is equally exploring new outlets for its gas. In September 2014, Gazprom negotiated a 30-year contract with the China National Petroleum Corporation (CNPC), which will directly link Russia's huge gas fields to Asia's booming market. This agreement follows on the heels of a \$400 billion gas deal with China in May 2014. Has Europe put its (near) monopsony at risk needlessly and provided a launch pad for Russia to penetrate the Chinese market?



Climate Change

In cooperation with the MISTRA Foundation

CEPS Anchor & Moderator: Andrei Marcu

► Global climate change policy: Why EU leadership?

Discussion Leaders

Tosi Mpanu-Mpanu, Director, CDM Designated National Authority, Democratic Republic of Congo

Marcin Korolec, former Minister of the Environment of Poland

EU policy-makers insist that the EU has to offer leadership in climate change negotiations. This was tried in Copenhagen, was offered as a rationale for successive EU energy and climate packages and continues to be the credo in the run-up to Paris. Before continuing in this vein, however, a few questions need to be answered:

- Is this approach good for the EU, and is this a good negotiating stance?
- What is the perception outside the EU and how does it compare to the approach of other countries?
- Why is Paris 2015 important for the EU?
- What would be the consequences if no agreement or a very weak agreement is reached?

► Competitiveness and climate change

Discussion Leaders

Carolyn Fischer, Senior Fellow at Resources for the Future and Associate Director, Center for Climate and Electricity Policy / **Vincent Mages**, Director Climate Change, Lafarge / **Bas Eickhout**, MEP

Carbon leakage is on the EU 2030 agenda. Like other jurisdictions, the EU is pursuing free allocation, but is there a limit to how far and how long we can go in that direction, given the 80% reduction target from 1990 by 2050? What other approaches would need to be considered in the long term? Perhaps some additional questions:

- What is the relative importance of carbon leakage compared to other factors driving production and companies away from Europe?
- Can sectors facing carbon leakage be compensated by other means if/when free allocation will be phased out?
- How can innovation (to reduce greenhouse gas emissions) be stimulated if allocation continues to be free?

► Climate for growth

Discussion Leaders

Matthew Arndt, Head of Environment, Climate and Social Policy, European Investment Bank

Nathaniel Keohane, Vice President, International Climate, EDF

Climate change is a challenge that needs to be urgently addressed in the context of meeting the '2 degree Celsius' goal as well as ensuring a balance between the three pillars of sustainability: environmental protection, economic growth and social inclusion and solidarity. What lessons can be drawn from the EU Climate and Energy Package – by the individual member states and internationally? Are these lessons being implemented in the 2030 package and the Paris international agreement? Will the results from the Montreal Carbon Pledge for investors play a role in Paris and what do decarbonised portfolios mean for growth?

Rights & Security

CEPS Anchors & Moderators: Sergio Carrera / Elspeth Guild

► The future of Frontex: Challenges towards a European borders service?

Discussion Leaders

Stefanie Siftt, Policy Adviser to Ska Keller MEP, European Parliament

Nils Muižnieks, Commissioner for Human Rights, Council of Europe / **Michele LeVoy**, Director of PICUM

Richard Ares Baumgartner, Head of External Relations/EU International Organisations – FRONTEX

The challenges posed by the growing volume of human movement in the Mediterranean have become increasingly visible in media and political debates. This issue is particularly of concern for those EU member states situated on the EU's external borders, especially in southern Europe. Frontex, the EU's external borders agency, supports and coordinates member state actions in border control and surveillance, but its competences and powers are limited. Calls for better implementation of the principle of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility on border controls now include the strengthening of Frontex and studying the feasibility of establishing a common European border guard service. This session will examine the future of Frontex and the main challenges and concrete issues related to a future European borders service.

► Data protection and privacy in times of large-scale surveillance

Discussion Leaders

Cornelia Kutterer, Director Digital Policy EMEA, Microsoft / **Mona Rishmawi**, Chief of Rule of Law, Equality and Non-Discrimination Branch, Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights

Jens-Henrik Jeppesen, Director European Affairs, Center for Democracy & Technology

Nils Muižnieks, Commissioner for Human Rights, Council of Europe

Data protection and privacy have recently come under strong pressures. Negotiations of a new EU General Data Protection Framework are underway, but they face a number of obstacles. The EU and the US are also proceeding to negotiate an umbrella data protection agreement. The revelations by Edward Snowden in 2013 and ongoing mass-surveillance systems in the US and the EU have undermined citizens' trust as well as rule of law in the EU. The implementation of the results and recommendations from the European Parliament's Inquiry Committee on mass surveillance will also be a critical issue to follow closely. This Lab session will examine the feasibility of establishing an EU charter of digital rights and explore ways to ensure more democratic and judicial accountability of law enforcement authorities.

Moderator: Shada Islam, Director, Policy at Friends of Europe

► Fighting financial crime and corruption in the EU

Discussion Leaders

Leif Görts, National Member for Sweden and Chair of the Eurojust Financial and Economic Crime Team

Emília Sičáková-Beblavá, Chairperson, Board of Transparency International Slovakia

Amandine Scherrer, Researcher, Centre d'Etudes sur les Conflits, Liberté et Sécurité

Marius Bulancea, Advisor to the Chief Prosecutor, National Anti-Corruption Directorate, Romania

Questions related to anti-corruption and the fight against financial crime are increasingly calling for common European policy responses. The EU is expected to play a more active role in tackling corruption both at member state and European levels, as well as combating tax fraud. The European Council has also expressed its determination to fight fraudulent behaviour to prevent losses to the EU budget, a key priority being to advance negotiations on the European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO). This Lab will examine the challenges for more EU intervention in the fight against financial crime and corruption.

Moderator: Neil Campbell, Deputy Director, Open Society European Policy Institute



Institutions

In cooperation with the Centro Studi sul Federalismo

CEPS Anchor: Sonia Piedrafita

► A more political European Commission?

Discussion Leaders

Margaritis Schinas, *Chief Spokesperson, European Commission* / **Richard Corbett**, *MEP*

The European elections and the formation of the new Commission sparked a heated debate on the efficiency and democratic legitimacy of this institution. Recent developments in the process of electing the Commission President have been hailed by many as the emergence of a new institutional order that reflects the classical division of powers in nation states. But changes in this direction are controversial due to their serious implications for the so-called ‘community’ or ‘EU method’. This session will examine the recent re-organisation of the Commission and its new political mandate. How is the new structure in project teams working in practice? Is it contributing to a more efficient and less bureaucratic EU? Has the relationship between the Commission and the European Parliament changed? Is it contributing to a more democratic EU?

Moderator: Quentin Peel, *Mercator Senior Fellow, Chatham House*

► What kind of treaty reform does the EU need?

Discussion Leaders

Enzo Moavero Milanesi, *Director, School of Law, LUISS and former Italian Minister for European Affairs*
Loukas Tsoukalis, *Professor of European Integration, University of Athens and President of ELIAMEP*

In the coming years, it is likely that the Committee on Constitutional Affairs (AFCO) in the European Parliament will closely examine the question of a treaty revision, and might even consider the submission of a proposal on the basis of a new Art. 48.2 TEU. The commitment to incorporate the Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance (TSCG) into the legal framework of the EU by 2018 and the UK government’s efforts to reform the EU institutions and to hold a referendum on the new arrangements in 2017 are pushing the debate to the forefront. However, political elites and – most importantly – EU citizens do not seem to be ready yet for a new round of reform. This session will discuss the scope, timeframe, desirability and feasibility of a treaty revision.

Moderator: Amy Verdun, *Jean Monnet Chair Ad Personam*

► What narrative for Europe?

Discussion Leaders

Danuta Hübner, *MEP, Chair of the AFCO Committee, European Parliament, and Member of the CEPS Board of Directors*
Enrico Letta, *former Prime Minister of Italy*
Miguel Maduro, *Minister in the Cabinet of the Prime Minister and for Regional Development of Portugal*

Citizens’ support for the EU is waning and dissatisfaction with the way democracy works in the EU is growing, especially in the countries hardest hit by the sovereign debt crisis. According to the last Eurobarometer, citizens generally find the EU bureaucratic, inefficient and remote. This translated into a new historic low in voter turnout in the last elections to the European Parliament in May and an increased support for Eurosceptic or Eurocritic parties of various kinds. At the same time, national democracies and institutions also show telling signs of ‘fatigue’, with some cases reaching crisis levels. The need to identify a new narrative on the EU and the euro is evident. This session will analyse the growing dissatisfaction with the EU and identify common features and the likely consequences of growing polarisation, while attempting to define a new ‘national’ and European narrative for the emerging multi-level (and multi-speed) EU.

Moderator: Anna Diamantopoulou, *President, TO DIKTIO*

Europe in the World

In cooperation with the Istituto Affari Internazionali

CEPS Anchor & Moderator: Steven Blockmans

► The future of the Eastern Partnership

Discussion Leaders

Juris Poikāns, *Latvian Ambassador at large for the EaP* / **Nicu Popescu**, *Senior Analyst, EU Institute for Security Studies* / **Elena Korosteleva**, *Jean Monnet Chair in European Politics, Kent University*

Five years after its launch, the Eastern Partnership has experienced both achievements and serious set-backs. Some observers point to a crystallizing two-tier system, with Georgia, Moldova and Ukraine forging ahead – albeit at considerable cost – and Armenia, Azerbaijan and Belarus lagging behind. Others point out that there are considerable differences even within these two groups. Either way, does this mean that the eastern dimension of the ENP and the Eastern partnership should be abandoned? Is a degree of unity in the eastern neighbourhood still possible? Which instruments can be used to pursue a merit-based approach to differentiation while keeping a platform for inter-regional relations? And how can a redefined EU policy towards the eastern neighbours confront the challenges posed by Russia?

► The EU and crisis management in the Maghreb

Discussion Leaders

Noman Benotman, *President, Quilliam Foundation* / **Bernard Savage**, *Head of Division - Maghreb, European External Action Service (EEAS)* / **Lapo Pistelli**, *Deputy Minister of Foreign Affairs, Italy*

The European Union's southern neighbourhood is more troubled and unpredictable now than it has been for decades. Air strikes by Egypt and the United Arab Emirates in Libya are symptomatic of this turmoil. Whereas terrorist groups and criminal syndicates specialised in all kinds of trafficking seem to operate freely across the Maghreb, the grave security deterioration and pending collapse of the state in Libya multiply the risks that such groups pose to the entire coastal area. This situation gives the impression that the events of the past four years explain the failure of the EU and NATO's approach to achieving stability in the region. What should be the EU's approach to crisis management in the Maghreb?

Moderator: Nathalie Tocci, *Deputy Director, Istituto Affari Internazionali (IAI)*

► How to facilitate Council decision-making in the area of CFSP?

Discussion Leaders

Walter Stevens, *Chair of the Political and Security Committee, EEAS*
Christophe Hillion, *Senior Researcher, Swedish Institute for European Policy Studies*

The EU does not have a strong Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) because the member states have not allowed one to emerge. In the case of Ukraine and Russia, however, it has been possible to agree on a common line, but the cost of keeping all member states on board has been a policy that often appeared timid and based on the smallest common denominator. Neither the High Representative, supported by the EEAS, nor the Commission, can change the forces underlying the formation of EU foreign policy. With the gradual shift of CFSP decision-making to the European Council, the question is how much can its President achieve.



Prime Talks

27 February 2015 10:40 - 12:10

► Productivity and competitiveness: Is digital Europe the answer?

Speakers

Matthias Kaiserswerth, Director and Vice-President, IBM Research

Miriam Sapiro, former Deputy United States Trade Representative

Enrico Giovannini, Vice-President, High Level Working Group on Competitiveness and Growth, European Council

Productivity growth in Europe has been falling behind the US, which itself has been slowing down. Is this general slowdown due to a broad trend common to all advanced economies or is Europe special? Is there a transatlantic 'digital gap' and what could the EU do to digitise the European economy?

► Towards innovative market leadership: China's 13th five-year plan

EU-China Reform Agendas

Speakers

Prof. Chi Fulin, President, China Institute for Reform and Development

George Magnus, former Chief Economist, UBS / **Pascal Lamy**, President, Notre Europe

China is embarking on a profound overhaul of its economy in the context of the 13th 5-year plan, which has established daunting targets not only for the re-balancing of the economy, but also for the modernisation of the administration and the role of the market in guiding the economy. What are the implications for the global economy and Europe of the new China that is now emerging?

► Has the EU fostered inequality?

Speakers

Bea Cantillon, Professor of Social Policy, Director, Herman Deleeck Centre for Social Policy, University of Antwerp

Linda Barrington, Executive Director, School of Industrial and Labor Relations, Cornell University

There is growing evidence in recent decades that most OECD member states have experienced increased inequality. There is no consensus, however, on the forces behind this trend (globalisation, technological change and shift in values and political preferences have all been fingered). What is the role of European integration? Does it magnify or slow this trend in inequality? If the latter, what can be done about it?

Moderator: Iain Begg, Professorial Research Fellow, European Institute, London School of Economics

► EU-China views on 2015 commitments

EU-China Reform Agendas

Speakers

Teresa Ribera, Director, IDDRI / **Qi Ye**, Director-General, Brookings-Tsinghua Center for Public Policy

The UNFCCC process has been gridlocked by the need to reach agreement between 180 countries. Should the G-3 take charge? The G-2 (US and China) accounts for about 44% of global emissions. But could they act without the EU, which currently accounts for approximately 10% of global emissions, and remains the largest market trading bloc? Could the G3 deliver an agreement in 2015?

Prime Talks

27 February 2015 10:40 - 12:10

► Rule of law in the EU

Speaker

Rosen Plevneliev, *President of Bulgaria*

Nils Muižnieks, *Commissioner for Human Rights, Council of Europe*

Robert de Groot, *Director General for European Cooperation, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, The Netherlands*

What role can the EU play in addressing the challenges posed by failing governance systems of selective member states? How can the Union exercise more influence on rule of law, anti-corruption and the fight against financial crimes? On the basis of the discussions and ideas emerging from the Lab sessions, this Prime Talk session will explore priorities for the EU to tackle rule of law and fundamental rights challenges. The European Commission has proposed a new rule of law framework to address some of these issues: What are the challenges ahead? What are the deficiencies of the current system and how can it be improved?

► The future of EU-Russia relations

Speakers

Wolfgang Schüssel, *former Chancellor of Austria, Member of the Board, Dialog-Europe-Russia*

H.E. Vladimir Chizov, *Ambassador, Permanent Representative of the Russian Federation to the European Union*

Seen from Brussels, Russia has not turned out to be the 'strategic partner' the EU had hoped for. Russia is viewed as having decidedly positioned itself as a strategic competitor, pushing its agenda in the shared neighbourhood through the use of armed force and by coercing countries into its regional integration model of the Eurasian Economic Union. Seen from Moscow, the EU's strategic ambitions with post-Soviet countries in its near abroad impinge on Russia's vital interests. What will the future of EU-Russian relations look like? A common agenda exists, based on basic interests in energy, economic cooperation and the fight against Islamic extremists. Is there more? Can the two neighbouring blocs cooperate in earnest while they confront each other in other domains?

Moderator: Nathalie Tocci, *Deputy Director, Istituto Affari Internazionali (IAI)*



In the margins

► Breakfast Session

27 February 2015 08:00 - 08:45

Green, Pink and Silver? - The Future of Labour in Europe (Launch of a new NEUJOBS book)



Marco Annuziata, Chief Economist, General Electric

László Andor, former European Commissioner for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion

Miroslav Beblavý, Senior Research Fellow, CEPS

Renate Hornung-Draus, Managing Director and Director of European and International Affairs, Confederation of German Employers (BDA)

Work is both an essential part of our daily lives and one of the major policy concerns across Europe. In this second volume of *The Future of Labour in Europe*, the authors explain, in accessible language, the findings of the NEUJOBS project on the job prospects of key industries and groups of people. They use three colours – green, pink and silver – to pinpoint areas with the biggest challenges as well as potential. The conclusions are addressed to policy-makers, the business world, journalists and fellow academics, and to anyone interested in the shape, size and character of the labour markets of tomorrow.

► Annual Journal of Common Market Studies Lecture

27 February 2015 14:00 - 16:00

EU-China Relations

Prof. Chen Zhimin, Dean, School of International Relations and Public Affairs, Fudan University

China and Europe are central to the (re)shaping of the world order, but their roles are complicated by their unusual state characteristics. Europe, in the form of European Union, is a transformative regional integration project. By sharing their sovereignty, European states are able to transform the regional order in Europe and to act collectively to play a pivotal role in shaping the world order. But the EU is not a regular state. In contrast to the EU, China is a traditional state power, but it differs from other states in a number of key aspects: it is a world power with a developing economy; a globalised economy with a one-party-rule political system; and a sovereign state with global responsibilities. No other state shares this combination of features. How these two most unusual powers will interact in the future constitutes a major question for the evolving world order. Will the EU consolidate its security and economic alliances with North America? Will China and the United States form a G2 to sideline the EU? Will China, the EU and the US develop variable coalitions on the basis of functional cooperation, so as to side-step potential geopolitical and geo-economic fault-lines? There are further questions too: Will the world be based on sovereign cooperation rather than on a post-sovereign rule-based system? Will the world order be characterised by decentralised regional orders? How can effective global governance be fostered under such circumstances? And what kind of internal reforms will China and the EU need to implement to ensure their contribution to a more cooperative and progressive world order?

Prof. Chen will address these important questions in the JCMS Annual Lecture 2015.

With thanks to our sponsors for their generous support

In partnership with



With the support of



STIFTUNG
MERCATOR

Individual Lab Partners



Gold Sponsors



Silver Sponsors



Centre for European Policy Studies

Place du Congrès 1, B-1000 Brussels

Tel. +32 2 229 39 11 / Fax : +32 2 219 41 51

www.ceps.eu